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Abstract—Research institutions play a role in creating science and innovation. Public Research Institution (PRI) as government-funded research institutes has been recognized as a strategic actor which has responsibility for encouraging researchers in producing efficient qualified research. An Indonesian PRI conducts research activities in basic, applied and experimental research with various fields of science such as life science, earth science, engineering science, and social and humanities science. This paper aims to provide an overview of PRI’s R&D performance by measuring efficiency in R&D performance using the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) method in 35 research units that were used as objects in a government R&D institution in Indonesia. DEA calculates input and output in the R&D unit which has 1,735 researchers and output in each field of science. The result shows that R&D performance was very different between the field of science and age group of researchers. The conclusion is that most of the efficiency created by young researchers. And the field of science that has near efficiency compared to other fields of science is engineering.

Index Terms—R&D performance, efficiency, DEA, researcher.

I. INTRODUCTION

Research institutions play a role in creating science and innovation. Innovation sustainability in the long term is part of knowledge-based economic development, with the capability and capability of qualified human resources, knowledge-based economic development will be able to increase economic growth. Development of science and technology in Indonesia which is based on research and development (R&D) aimed at producing various research results, and to disseminate the results so that it can benefit the community in an effective and efficient way. To be strategic matters, PRI’s acknowledgement of knowledge creation and diffusion as strategic actor has been recognized. In the case of Indonesia, creation and commercialization of PRI’s research results are critical areas of Indonesian science, technology and innovation policy [3].

OECD (2002) defines R&D activities as "Research and experimental development (R&D) that comprises creative work. It is carried out on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, include knowledge of man, cultures and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications" [4]. In this case, R&D activities are not only intended to increase knowledge or knowledge but also develop that knowledge so that it can be applied and utilized. Therefore R&D activities are oriented to needs or real problems or are demand-driven [3]. "R&D activities are key and basic components to development of science and technology (S&T), and also have important role in developing and sustaining the growth of the national economy and corporate business" [5]. Investment in R&D is the most critical determinants in boosting scientific and technological progress. In private organization, R&D resources that are efficiently utilise, may attain higher progress and economic growth in the firms [6].

Government R&D institutions according to Demir [7] play an important role in the national innovation system. This is because most R&D institutions in the most developed or developing countries are in the government sector or the R&D activities are funded by the government. In the NSF report (2016) stated that the accumulation of R&D activities in the government sector is because for strategic matters, especially aimed the public interest. To be strategic matters, R&D Institutions should have the following capacity, first the capacity to absorb science and technology that originates from the outside [3]. The capacity to absorb science and technology from the outside is indicated, among others, from accessibility to various sources of science and technology information so that it is less likely to experience overlapping research and efficiency in resource use. Second is the capacity in conducting R&D or R&D capacity is reflected in the quality of R&D and output produced and the relevance of technology that is appropriate and meets the real needs of users.

Based on the definition of R&D there are differences in R&D performance according to their classification. First, based on the type of institution and R&D activities undertaken are classified as follows: a. R&D activities in
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R&D institutions; b. R&D activities that are contracted out, collaborated and placed on the system in universities; c. independent R&D laboratory; d R&D activities contracted to foreign institutions [8]. Second, based on the objectives of R&D activities, there are based on the combination of science creation activities such as basic research, fundamental research, technology development, advanced development, concept development, new product development, process development, prototyping, R&D portfolio management, transfer technology. Besides that, they also conduct marketing activities, manage copyrights, built incubation [8]. Third, the classification is based on the size of R&D inputs, such as budget, human resource, infrastructure, activity period. Fourth is based on research areas or topics.

Based on its management classification, Indonesia has a variety of research institutions, among research institutions managed by the government, institutions managed by universities, managed by the private sector, and managed by private non-profits, PRIs are divided into three namely independent research institutions and research institutions that are part of certain ministries and research institutions managed in the region. In several Asian countries, the government encourages universities and public research to produce output with the economy by increasing investment in research activities [3]. In Indonesia the government budget for research activities is 0.21% of GDP in 2016. While the number of researchers continues to grow, in 2018 the number of researchers in government R&D institutions is around 9,000 researchers. And the largest number of researchers that work in one of the oldest and largest research institutions in Indonesia, with 1757 people. This government R&D institution conducts research activities in basic, applied and experimental research activities, with various fields of science such as life science, earth science, engineering science, and social and humanities science.

This paper aims to provide empirical study that provides an overview of R&D performance in the largest government R&D institutions in Indonesia and measure efficiency in R&D performance using the DEA method in 35 research units were used as objects.

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Some researchers have conducted studies on evaluating R&D performance to see institutional efficiency. “An effective R&D operation is a major source of competitive advantage in today’s rapidly globalizing economy. Thus, the evaluation of R&D performance has been the important problem for both academic interest and practical needs [8].” R&D performance can be seen from various perspectives as shown in Table I. R&D performance in firms [9]-[11], R&D performance in government research institute [12], [13] based on projects [14] R&D performance at the research unit for strategic change [2], R&D performance in university [15], [16] and R&D performance in the regional area [17].

### Table I. R&D Performance Using DEA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Lee</td>
<td>Public research institutions: 10 government research institute that produces 1481 projects.</td>
<td>budget, researcher.</td>
<td>Publication and patent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Coccia</td>
<td>100 research units divided per scientific field R&amp;D active firms</td>
<td>researcher and researcher fellow</td>
<td>Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Khosnowis</td>
<td>Expenditure, R&amp;D intensity, employee, patent acquisition.</td>
<td>Turnover per employee, Net added value per employee, turn over.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. J. Fenga</td>
<td>R&amp;D activities in 29 universities in China</td>
<td>Personnel structure and R&amp;D expense</td>
<td>Published papers, number of research and applied projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Li</td>
<td>R&amp;D Institutions in different provinces (30 provinces)</td>
<td>R&amp;D personnel, Intramural Expenditure on R&amp;D (RMB one hundred million).</td>
<td>Scientific Papers Issued (piece), Publication on Science and Technology (kind), Number of Patent Applications Accepted (piece), National or industry standards (term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Park</td>
<td>Korean Public Organizations the Integrated Semiconductor Firms -- Case Study of Taiwan</td>
<td>researchers, budget, etc.</td>
<td>Patents, papers, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin-Tai Chen</td>
<td>the age of the firm, paid-in-capital of the firm R&amp;D annual R&amp;D expenditure of the firm, contains R&amp;D current expenditure and R&amp;D capital expenditure. Number of R&amp;D employees in R&amp;D department</td>
<td>annual sales of the firm, number of patents approved by domestic and foreign patent office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation of R&D performance can use the DEA method, some researchers use the DEA method [2], [9], [11], [13]-[17], also shown in Table I. The Charnes data analysis concept emphasizes that DEA is a linear programming methodology that evaluates the relative performance of a decision-making unit (DMU) using a set of inputs to produce a set of outputs. With DEA, each DMU is evaluated by comparing its performance with other DMUs in the group. The use of DEA for evaluating the relative efficiencies of R&D activities shows a good understanding of the relations between the resource utilization and the output of the different companies [8]. DEA has thus been widely used to measure R&D efficiency at various levels, such across country comparison [18], university research, and R&D projects.

### III. METHODOLOGY

The technique used in data collection is to collect...
secondary data from various data sources. Data units are research units grouped into the same field of science. There were 35 research units and 1757 researchers with 36 expertsises. The method used in calculating performance is DEA. The input used is the number of researchers and the output consists of articles in proceedings, articles in journals and published books.

Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) was first introduced by Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes in 1978. Data Envelopment Analysis is a non-parametric approach that uses linear programming to determine the company’s efficiency limits. DEA can be applied to Decision-Making Unit (DMU) companies or decision units. DEA can be used to measure performance and evaluate the activities of organizations such as business companies, government agencies, hospitals, educational institutions. DEA analysis is done by giving weighting to the company’s input and output data to maximize the input and output ratios. The DEA model assesses the efficiency of resource use (input) to produce results (output).

The DEA model is stated in the following equation:

\[
Efficiency = \frac{Output}{Input}
\]

The DEA model is stated in the following equation:

\[
Eff = \max \frac{\sum U_i y_{ir}}{\sum V_j x_{jr}} \quad s.t.
\]

\[
\sum U_i y_{ir} \leq 1 \quad ; \quad \forall j
\]

\[
\sum V_j x_{jr} \geq 1 \quad ; \quad \forall i, \forall r
\]

where

- \( y_{ir} \) is the number of output \( r \) produced by DMU\( j \)
- \( u_i \) is the weight given for the \( r \)th output
- \( x_{jr} \) is the number of inputs to \( i \) used by DMU\( j \)
- \( v_i \) is weight given for \( i \)-input

With this approach, the efficiency of the company is seen from the amount of output produced from the few available inputs. DEA can be used for one or more inputs and one or more outputs. Productivity can be measured by two methods, partial productivity measures and total factor productivity measures. DEA is implemented in the form of linear programming, one of which is by using R programming, both in the form of Constant Return to Scale (CRS) and Variable Return to Scale (VRS). CRS shows that the addition of production factors is proportional to the yield. VRS shows disproportionate changes, both in the form of increases and decreases.

In more detail, the efficiency of an organization is generally defined as the ability to produce output as much as possible with the limits of existing inputs, or vice versa can be expressed as the ability to use as little input as possible to produce targeted output. With this understanding, then the efficiency score can be expressed as the ratio between the amount of output versus input, or

\[
score \_efficiency = \frac{output}{input}
\]

If there is only one type of output and one type of input process, determining the efficiency score can be done easily for each condition. Problems arise when a situation is encountered where there are several outputs or several inputs. In situations where there are \( k \) outputs and inputs, then we need to determine the weights for each component of the output and the input component so that the efficiency score is then defined as:

\[
score \_efficiency = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \times output_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j \times input_j}
\]

\( w_i \) is the weight for the \( i \)th component, \( v_j \) is the weight for the \( j \)th component, \( k \) and \( m \) is the number of inputs and outputs, respectively.

with obstacles

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \times output_{i(U)} \leq 1
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_j \times input_{j(U)} \leq 1
\]

for all \( U \) units (including \( U \) and other units) \( w \) and \( v \) are non-negative.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A total of 35 R&D units are grouped based on 4 fields of science: earth science, life science, engineering science, social and humanity science. The input of performance is the researcher. The highest number of researchers is at the Biology Research Center, Biotechnology Research Center, Chemical Research Center, Oceanographic Research Center, and the Metrology Research Center. The number of researchers from the five research centers reached 40% of all researchers. Trend of researcher based on field of science or expertise is shown in Fig. 1.

The level of researchers from the initial level starts from the junior researcher, young researchers, senior researchers, and finally the principal researcher. Increasing the level of researchers is given based on the assessment of credit numbers at a certain time period, in 2018 there were a total of 1685 researchers spread across LIPI’s work units, with the largest percentage being young researchers as much as 37.03%.
The functional positions of researchers must have a bachelor’s degree in education. Increasing academic qualifications will also affect the level of researcher’s achievement. Based on human resource database, there are researchers who have less than 1% undergraduate education background, this can occur because at the past time, there was no minimum qualification of education (bachelor) to become the junior researcher. Whereas almost half number of the researchers have postgraduate education background. The output of the DEA calculation is publication.

Data on R&D performance with a unit of analysis in the form of a combination of years and field of sciences of R&D units, the output component consists of 6 type of publications (1) global journals, (2) local journals, (3) global proceedings, (4) local proceedings, (5) local books, (6) global books and components input in the form of the number of researcher and total human resources in R&D units. Based on the above performance data, input of the number of researchers and publications then DEA produces an efficiency score is shown in Table II.

The average efficiency score for each year is 0.5 to 0.8. The data above is the result of calculations by doing smoothing on the value of efficiency. The obvious difference is the highest efficiency value which reached 0.911 in 2016. Over a period of 8 years the R&D efficiency score has increased, as has the efficiency score when viewed based on field of science. The R&D unit in each field is a work unit that has a technical function, which is the unit that has the main task of carrying out research activities. Both the number of researchers and publications produced by researchers come from the R&D unit.

Social and humanity science has higher efficiency score by including 6 types of publications. This means that the book is a publication output that affects the efficiency of the deployment of social and humanity science. Efficiency score from 2010 to 2016 have increased with six types of publications. Significant improvements began in 2015. Some facts that might affect this efficiency score are: 1) 2015-2019 planning which sets the output of research in the
form of globally indexed publications, 2) determination of performance of each R&D unit, 3) key performance indicators (KPI) the R&D unit that impacts on the determination of the performance of researchers and industry. The highest efficiency score is at values 0.929, this efficiency value is in age group 25-29 years of researcher, so the researchers on the age group are the most productive ages. Another efficiency score that must be highlighted is 0.825, which is the researcher that is in the age groups of 50-54 years. This is the age group of researcher that has productivity in writing books, this is shown in the simulation when only four types of publications, the efficiency value at the age of 50-54 years is 0.7, but when the book is made into output, this age group becomes higher in productivity.

V. CONCLUSION

Around 40% of the total researchers are in the R&D units of biology, biotechnology, chemistry, oceanography and metrology. Percentage of researcher to total researcher based on the level of researchers is: 27% junior researchers, 37% young researcher, 23% senior researcher, and 13% principal researcher. From the education level of researcher, bachelor to master to PhD degree than the ratio will be 5: 3: 2 to total of researchers. Based on gender classification in each age group, the number of female researchers is balanced with the number of male researchers.

The most expertise of researchers is biology, aquatic science and material and metallurgy. Expertise with a small number of researchers is mathematics, fisheries, philosophy, art and culture, design, language and literature, forestry, and communication with the number of researcher in each of the expertise is less than five people. Expertise spread across several R&D units is information and communication technology.

R&D performance is measured using the DEA method, with input is the number of researcher and the output is six types of scientific publications that is produced over a period of 8 years (2010-2017). The R&D efficiency score that is close to 1 is the most efficient R&D performance. Based on the results of the DEA efficiency score, the highest efficiency score in R&D performance in PRI is 0.929. From year to year the value of efficiency increases, the efficiency value from 2010 to 2016 has increased with six types of publications. Significant improvement began in 2015. Engineering science is the most efficient R&D units group among others, with significantly improvement from 2010 until 2017. What needs more attention is the R&D unit in the fields of social science and humanity science and also earth science, because in the first few years there has been an increase in efficiency but then dropped in 2017. Performance is also seen by age group. The age group 25-29 years of researcher is the group with the highest productivity, the age group 50-54 years of researcher is the age with productivity in writing books.
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