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Abstract—This article examines the relationship between emotional intelligence and teaching effectiveness of knowledge workers who are lecturers in public and private universities in Perak, Malaysia. The conceptual framework of this study is based on the model of emotional intelligence (Nelson & Low, 1998), and the concept of teaching effectiveness (Aregbeyen, 2010). A total of 275 lectures from both public and private were selected as respondents through random sampling technique. Findings indicated that teaching effectiveness was significantly related with all the five components of emotional intelligence at .01 level.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In achieving Malaysia’s vision 2020 and in sustaining excellence in higher education, lecturers who are considered as knowledge workers must work collaboratively with key stakeholders to foster students’ academic growth. As stated by [1] teaching is one of the most stressful occupations, giving impact to mental and physical health of lecturers, hence it is important for lecturers to be emotionally stable with the people they work with. Furthermore, lecturers as knowledge workers must possess emotional intelligence as they experience intense emotion-laden interactions on a daily basis and have a great number of emotional demands compared to most other professionals [2]. Hence, it is crucial for lecturers to be emotionally intelligent because lecturers who lack emotional competence would indirectly affect the overall performance of the universities especially in terms of producing high quality graduates who can fulfill the demands of the industry.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A university’s direction and expansion have been influenced by the increasing social demand for education at all levels [3]. Similarly, from the perspective of human capital, institutions of higher learning in Malaysia provide opportunities to equip individuals with the knowledge, professionalism, and innovation [3]. In other words, lecturers as knowledge workers need to be masters of emotional intelligence (EI) as their jobs involve an interpersonal focus when dealing with subordinates, students as well as both internal and external stakeholders. Evidence from research suggests that EI is important to effective worker performance, yet organizations do not address this issue seriously.

The concept of EI according to [4] involves the capacity to reason about emotions and of emotions as well as promoting emotional and intellectual development.

EI was first conceptualized in 1990 by John Mayer and Salovey. According to [4], EI consists of four components which are identifying emotions, using emotions, understanding emotions, and managing emotions. Their model of EI is linked to mental skills and personality traits such as sociability and warmth. Similarly, [5] stresses that intellectual quotient (IQ) accounts to only 20 percent of life’s success while 80 percent is attributed to other factors. According to [5], EI is not about IQ but about how well one handles oneself in relationships, how well one works in a team and is able to lead other people. As stated by [4], EI is the ability to recognize one’s own feelings and of others, to motivate oneself and to manage one’s emotions. EI is critical for lecturers because they have to produce students with first-class mentality. Background of this study is based on the concepts of emotional intelligence by [5] and [6]. According to [5] EI is critical for personal achievement, career success, leadership, and life satisfaction. The four components of EI as proposed by [5] are interpersonal skills, personal leadership skills, self-management, and intrapersonal skills.

According to [5], interpersonal skills involve expressing what is bothering oneself when one is really angry at someone. In the same token, individuals feel comfortable when communicating with a person of authority. In addition, one behaves straightforwardly when making a request from another person and one usually thinks of having fun when around a new group of people.

Ref. [5] define personal leadership skills as having good decision making skills and leadership qualities. In addition, [5] consider good leadership skills as making own decisions independently; making the right decision; seldom regretting the decisions that have been made; and suggesting solutions which other group members accept when involved in a group project. Besides that, personal leadership also entails being persuasive without taking advantage of others; having a good ability to help others solve problems; positively impact others just by being themselves; and putting others at ease in tense situations.

In addition, [5] define self-management as having good time management and commitment ethic. In self-
management one would receive high ratings in managing one’s work if being evaluated in terms of job effectiveness; setting objectives for oneself; organizing one’s responsibilities into an efficient personal time schedule; and working effectively on several projects at the same time with good results. Additionally, with self-management skills, one is considered as a dependable person; has a sense of right and wrong; does well in almost any area; and often works day and night on projects to meet a deadline that is set by oneself.

The last component which is intrapersonal involves self-esteem and stress management. The intrapersonal component is defined as feeling very comfortable with oneself as a person; being trustworthy and creative; and accepting one’s mistakes rather than being bothered with them. Apart from that, stress management also refers to finding the time to really enjoy life the way one would like it to be, able to comfortably express strong emotions such as fear, anger, and sadness. Furthermore, with intrapersonal skills, one is not under much stress and does not usually push to hurry things up.

Teaching Effectiveness which is based on [6] involves five components which are analytical approach, clarity of teaching, lecturer-group interaction, lecturer-individual student interaction, and enthusiasm of lecturer. According to [6] analytical approach involves lecturer’s discussing students’ opinions in class; discussing recent developments in the field; presenting facts and concepts from related fields; and emphasizing conceptual understanding. The second component of teaching effectiveness which is clarity of teaching refers to lecturers explaining clearly; being well prepared; answering questions precisely; identifying what is considered important. The next component is lecturer-group interaction. This refers to lecturer’s inviting criticism of one’s own ideas; inviting students to share their knowledge; knowing if the class understands the lecturer or not; and being concerned with the quality of teaching. The next component, lecturer-individual student interaction refers to being friendly with students; having a genuine interest in students; and is accessible to students out of class. The final component is related to enthusiasm of lecturer and relates to whether the lecturer is an energetic person, having an enthusiastic enthusiasm for teaching, lecturer-group interaction.

Teaching Effectiveness which is based on [6] involves five components which are analytical approach, clarity of teaching, lecturer-group interaction, lecturer-individual student interaction, and enthusiasm of lecturer. According to [6] analytical approach involves lecturer’s discussing students’ opinions in class; discussing recent developments in the field; presenting facts and concepts from related fields; and emphasizing conceptual understanding. The second component of teaching effectiveness which is clarity of teaching refers to lecturers explaining clearly; being well prepared; answering questions precisely; identifying what is considered important. The next component is lecturer-group interaction. This refers to lecturer’s inviting criticism of one’s own ideas; inviting students to share their knowledge; knowing if the class understands the lecturer or not; and being concerned with the quality of teaching. The next component, lecturer-individual student interaction refers to being friendly with students; having a genuine interest in students; and is accessible to students out of class. The final component is related to enthusiasm of lecturer and relates to whether the lecturer is an energetic person, having an enthusiastic enthusiasm for teaching, lecturer-group interaction.

V. RESULTS

A. Sample Description

The data of the completed questionnaire were analyzed using SPSS version 21. Respondents of this study consisted of lecturers from public and private universities. In relation to level of education, the respondents’ level of education ranged from Bachelor’s Degree to PhD. Most of the respondents held a Master’s Degree (59.3%), only 8 percent had a Bachelor’s Degree while 32.7% had obtained a PhD qualification. The biggest proportion of respondents held a Masters Degree because it is the entry level of education for lecturers in both public and private universities. Most of the respondents were female (52.4%) while only 47.6% of the respondents were male. This shows the current situation in most organizations where there is a proportionate number in relation to gender. The number of respondents in University A (public university) was 71 (25.8%) and in University B (public university) was 99 (36.0%). Meanwhile the total number of respondents in University C (private university) was 55 (20.0%) and University D (private university) was 50 (18.2%). The demographic data indicates that most of the respondents were from University B (public university) as the total number of faculties in university B (public university) was the biggest compared to other universities in this study. Furthermore, most of the respondents were in the age category of 30 years and below (27.3%), followed by 31 to 35 years age group. On the other hand, the smallest proportion of respondents was from the age group of 56 years and above (4.7%). This demographic data is consistent with the respondents’ years of service as most of the respondents had been working with their organization for 5 years or less than 5 years (43.6%) and that majority of them were from the younger generation. Therefore, they do not have a lot of working experience.
B. Correlation between Variables

This section discusses the findings on the correlation between each component of emotional intelligence and teaching effectiveness for public and private universities. Table I shows the interpretation of the correlation coefficients according to [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength of Association</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>-0.29 till -0.10</td>
<td>0.10 till 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>-0.49 till -0.30</td>
<td>0.30 till 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>-1.00 till -0.50</td>
<td>0.50 till 1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Correlation between Each Component of Emotional Intelligence and Teaching Effectiveness (Public University)

Pearson-Moment correlation was used to determine the relationship among variables in this study. Results displayed in Table II shows that the correlation between all the components of emotional intelligence and teaching effectiveness were significant ($p < 0.01$), weak to strong and positive (Table I). Moving on to Table II, teaching effectiveness was significant, positive, and had a weak correlation with interpersonal ($r = 0.194; p < 0.01$) and intrapersonal ($r = 0.218; p < 0.01$); a moderate relationship with personal leadership ($r = 0.386; p < 0.01$) and a strong relationship with self-management ($r = 0.503; p < 0.01$). On the other hand, teaching effectiveness has a moderate correlation with overall emotional intelligence ($r = 0.481; p < 0.01$). This explains that, to a weak extent, an improvement in interpersonal and to a moderate extent, an increase in intrapersonal and to a strong extent an increase in personal leadership, self-management and overall emotional intelligence is associated with an improvement in teaching effectiveness. In summary, self-management has the strongest association with teaching effectiveness ($r = 0.641; p < 0.01$) while interpersonal, had the weakest relationship ($r = 0.230; p < 0.01$) which is consistent with the results of public universities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of Emotional Intelligence and Teaching Effectiveness (Public University)</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation Coefficient ($r$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>0.230**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Leadership</td>
<td>0.538**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Management</td>
<td>0.641**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrapersonal</td>
<td>0.307**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Emotional Intelligence</td>
<td>0.633**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at 0.01

D. Correlation between Each Component of Emotional Intelligence and Teaching Effectiveness (Private University)

Results in Table III show that the correlation between all the components of emotional intelligence and teaching effectiveness were significant ($p < 0.01$), weak to strong and positive (Table I). As indicated in Table III, teaching effectiveness was significant, positive, and had a weak correlation with interpersonal ($r = 0.230; p < 0.01$); a strong relationship with personal leadership ($r = 0.538; p < 0.01$) with self-management ($r = 0.641; p < 0.01$) and with overall emotional intelligence ($r = 0.633; p < 0.01$). In addition, teaching effectiveness was moderately correlated with intrapersonal ($r = 0.307; p < 0.01$). This shows that, to a weak extent, an improvement in interpersonal and to a moderate extent, an increase in intrapersonal and to a strong extent an increase in personal leadership, self-management and overall emotional intelligence is associated with an improvement in teaching effectiveness. In summary, self-management has the strongest relationship with teaching effectiveness ($r = 0.641; p < 0.01$) while interpersonal, had the weakest relationship ($r = 0.230; p < 0.01$) which is consistent with the results of public universities.

VI. DISCUSSION

Development of quality human capital has been emphasized and highlighted in the 10th Malaysia Plan as a means towards a knowledge-based economy, with higher value-added services and productivity [8]. Hence, this study was conducted in two public and private universities in Perak, Malaysia. The results of this study show that the correlation between teaching effectiveness and self-management for both public and private universities was significant, positive, and strong. This is consistent with the study by [2] but not consistent with that by [9]. These findings reveal that lecturers with self-management generally have good time management skills in dealing with their daily work, in setting priorities and commitment ethic. Additionally, this study reveals that the relationship between teaching effectiveness and interpersonal skills for both public and private universities were significant, positive and the weakest among all the four components of EI. This tally with [2] study but not consistent with [9] study. This means that lecturers need to improve on their interpersonal skills especially their skills of communicating, listening, and working collaboratively with other people. With regard to the relationship between teaching effectiveness and personal leadership, results indicate that the relationship between the two was significant, positive and moderate for public university. This result is similar to both the studies by [2] study and [9]. However, it is not consistent for the private university whereby the correlation between teaching effectiveness and personal leadership was significant, positive and strong. This implies that university lecturers especially public university lecturers need to improve and strengthen their leadership skills especially in decision making so that they are able to guide...
and teach the students effectively and efficiently [10]. The results of this study also indicate that the relationship between teaching effectiveness and intrapersonal skills for private universities was significant, positive, and moderate which is consistent with the study by [2]. Similarly, the relationship between the two was significant, positive and weak for public universities. This shows that university lecturers must improve their self-esteem and must be able to handle stress especially in managing their physical and mental efficiency and individual satisfaction, communicating in personal and work relationships, managing anxiety, controlling as well as managing anger [2]. The present study indicates implications for academics and researchers. Thus, the role of EI in educational environment must be further explored. Lecturers need to understand the role of EI in increasing teaching effectiveness as recent studies on EI is found to predict individual performance and effectiveness at work.

VII. CONCLUSION

Many researchers agree that traditional measures of intelligence do not really predict success. Since non-cognitive factors are important determinants of work behavior for knowledge workers, universities must focus on training programs for lecturers. Developing EI through training must become an important agenda for important training needs of today’s organizations. Hence, emphasis must be given in training lecturers as knowledge workers to be competent in emotional intelligence especially in interpersonal skills, personal leadership skills and intrapersonal skills. With appropriate training, lecturers will be successful at work and will develop as stable individuals in society. Additionally, positive outcomes from the training can contribute towards improved professionalism among lecturers and positive learning environments in the classroom. It would also lead to a culture of nurturing continuous improvement to ensure students success and university excellence.
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