The present study, has been done about Naein borough rurals. Naein is located in the center of Iran. The aim of the research was finding the factors affecting migration of Naein rural such as Eshratabad, Balan and Mazyak to the cities, such as Isfahan and Ardestan. The results showed that economic and social reasons such as little raining which leads to decrease of income, decrease of agricultural productions, personality handicraft and stockbreeding, total income; the exploitation of final water resources; lack of new life welfare resources; geographic isolation; and undesirable transport systems are the major reasons for the migration of Naein rural. Also rural entered to capital cities because of football sport, as well as working in railway and industrial manufacturing. Of course positive state projects such as Village Hadi project and Shaid Rajaei project caused returning of some groups of immigrants to villages.

Index Terms—Economic and social reasons, Iran, migration, rural.

I. INTRODUCTION

The migration is geographic movement or translocation, performed between two geographic areas [1]. The Isfahan state capital building information and statistical register reported an analysis of migration of rural to Naein as shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net migration</th>
<th>Number of emigration</th>
<th>Number of immigration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>735</td>
<td>7880</td>
<td>7045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The widespread migration from rural to urban territories cause problems including high urban unemployment and poverty [3]. Also, the information about population of Naein city and the villages around it is shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setter in rural points</th>
<th>Setter in urban points</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Household</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18609</td>
<td>5598</td>
<td>37146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. THE STUDY

A. Basic Subject

Naein borough in the center of Iran consist of several rural population involving Eshratabad rural, Balan rural, Mazyak rural, Oshan rural and others. The aim of this study was the investigation of major reasons for migration of Naein rurals to the cities such as Naein, Isfahan, Ardestan and Tehran.

B. Research Methodology

In this research monographic and site search methods was used. The techniques including interview documents studding and library investigations which used for collection of data.

III. RESULTS

A. Eshratabad Rural

This rural with 88 members in thirty six household has seventy percent aged members. Most of people migrated for finding better jobs or income toward capital cites such as Isfahan, Tehran or Naein. Lack of medical services was another reason for migration. However the most reason for migration to foreign countries was creation of profession and high level education for children.

B. Balan Rural

This rural migrate 6 months of year for service activities to Tehran and Isfahan; and return to the village for agricultural activity the remaining 6 months of the year.

C. Mazyak Rural

This village had a population of 210 persons and 30 families. Individuals migrated because of inadequacy of agriculture income and environmental deficiencies. Much of rural migrated on the year of 2010 to the capital cities and involved in the fields of football sport, and working in railway as well as ceramic industrial manufacturing.

D. Kejan Rural

A statistical analysis about the population of Kejan rural has been done. The results showed that the population was 1069 in 1976, which was decreased to 573 in 2006, because of migration. The population showed a few increase to 618 in 2010 (Table III).

The important causes of the migration were decrease of income, the exploitation of final water resources, lack of new life welfare resources, geographic isolation and undesirable transport systems.
TABLE III: THE TRANSFORMATION PROCESS IN POPULATION OF KEIAN RURAL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>7880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>1054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The important causes of the migration were decrease of income, the exploitation of final water resources, lack of new life welfare resources, geographic isolation and undesirable transport systems.

It is mentioned that a little part of the population decrease is from using family planning politics, which was because of family economic difficulties.

IV. DISCUSSION

Benson and O’Reilly mentioned that the migrants are not the only people with expectations. In some cases, particularly in Spain but increasingly in other destinations including Panama, Egypt, Costa Rica and Malaysia, local agencies are specifically promoting their destination for ‘residential tourism’, the extension of tourism through foreign investment in the second-home market. Despite the abundance of literature 10 Lifestyle Migration critiquing the early developments in Spa in, very little attention is paid to the negative. Consequences which can be similar to the environmental, social and cultural degradation wrought by tourism. Nudrali and O’Reilly’s chapter uniquely focuses not only on what lifestyle migration means for the working class Britons moving to Didim, but also investigated the ambivalent reception of the extant Turkish population. The Turkish are positive about the financial stimulus brought by investment and in-migration but express a number of ambivalent reception of the extant Turkish population. The Britons moving to Didim, but also investigated the environmental, social and cultural degradation wrought by tourism. Nudrali and O’Reilly’s chapter uniquely focuses not only on what lifestyle migration means for the working class Britons moving to Didim, but also investigated the ambivalent reception of the extant Turkish population.

The other study examines which types of individuals are attracted to or repelled from rural and urban areas in France. Migration decisions among urban centers, suburbs, and rural areas are investigated for three age groups. Differences between locations are assumed to be driven by agglomeration economies and externalities resulting from densities. The results from multinomial mixed logics show that large labor markets attract the youngest and in particular educated individuals. Larger family size favors migration from city centers to suburbs, whereas divorce and widowhood increase the probability of moving to an urban center [5].

Ghaffari Moghadam and sobbihu showed that agricultural input flexibility from rural-urban migration function was estimated by recursive equation system in Iran. This study has been shown that labor, capital and education level had positive effects; and machinery, and agriculture had negative effects on the migration in Iran. Increasing of rural wages leads to decreased migration and mounting urban wage increased migration [3].

Khan in 2011 has been studied some causes of rural-urban migration among the states and union territories of India. The study also showed the socio-economic determinates of rural-urban migration in short, medium and long distance migration-streams, subjected on the basis of migration defining boundaries. In that study, it has been shown that a majority of the rural migrate to the urban areas due to social factors and male migration is more economic motivated than female migration. Also, social factors such as marriage and migration with household are the major reasons for migration to short or medium distances while the work or employment are the main reasons for migration to far distances [6].

Also, our study in Naein rural (located in the center of Iran) showed that there are major reasons for Naein rural migration, which includes:

1) Economic and social reasons such as decrease of income, agricultural productions, personality handicraft and stockbreeding.
2) The exploitation of final water resources; lack of new life welfare resources
3) Geographic isolation
4) Undesirable transport systems.

Also rural entered to capital cities because of football sport, as well as working in railway and industrial manufacturing.

In the case of life style migration it should been said; in some cases, particularly in Spain but increasingly in other destinations including Panama, Egypt, Costa Rica and Malaysia, local agencies are specifically promoting their destination for ‘residential tourism’, the extension of tourism through foreign investment in the second-home market [4].

V. CONCLUSION

By the analysis of the results obtained in the present study, it can be concluded that improvement of the economic conditions by means of industrial agriculture; increasing the level of education in villages; supporting of handicraft production; improvement of water resources; increasing new life welfare resources and establishment of transport systems will be lead to inhibition of migration in Naein rural as a sample of rural population in Iran.
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